2008 AUG -7 A 10: 32 Mark Malachowski (CSBN 242696) 1 Attorney at Law 2 Malachowski and Associates 760 Market Street, Suite 947 3 San Francisco, CA 94102 RICHARD W. WIEKING Telephone: (415) 98 CLERN DISTRICT COURT 4 FAX: (415) 986 - 8068 HO. DIST. OF CA. S.J. mark@marklawsf.com 5 Attorney for Mohamed Abouelha 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN JOSE DIVISION 10 11 03774 Mohamed Abouelhassan, 12 COMPLAINT IN ACTION BASED ON 13 Plaintiff, RACE DISCRIMINATION ALLEGING v. 14 **VIOLATIONS OF SECTION 1981, TITLE** VII, AND THE FIFTH AND THE The United States of America (U.S. 15 THIRTEENTH AMENDMENTS TO US Government), Secretary of the United States) Army Pete Geren, Elena Levintova Allison, CONSTITUTION 16 Defense Language Institute, Department of Defense, 17 Defendants. 18 19 20 21 **JURISDICTION** This Court has jurisdiction of this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 28 U.S.C. § 1343(3) 22 and (4) and 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e)-(5) f in order to protect rights guaranteed by 42 U.S.C. § 1981, 23 Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act (42 U.S.C. § 2000e), and the Fifth and the Thirteenth 24 25 Case No. C07-4038 RS TITLE 7 COMPLAINT -1abouelhassan.title.7 complaint.5

Filed 08/07/2008

Document 1

Case 5:08-cv-03774-RMW

Amendment to the United States Constitution.

2

1

3

4

6

5

7

9

8

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

Case No. C07-4038 RS TITLE 7 COMPLAINT

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Mohamed Abouelhassan (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff" or "Abouelhassan") is an individual, residing in San Jose, Santa Clara County, California.
- 3. Defendants The United States of America (U.S. Government) in its capacity as employer and principal.
- 4. Pete Geren, Secretary of the United States Army, in his official capacity as principal.
- 5. Elena Levintova Allison, Defense Language Institute, Department of Defense, in her official capacity as Mr. Abouelhassan's supervisor (hereinafter referred to collectively as "Defendants").

CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

6. Plaintiff Abouelhassan has fulfilled all conditions precedent to the institution of this action under 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e). He filed timely charges with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and received a final decision (Attachment A). Plaintiff is filing this action under the provisions of Section 1614.407 Civil action: Title VII, Age Discrimination in Employment Act and Rehabilitation Act.

A complainant who has filed an individual complaint, an agent who has filed a class complaint or a claimant who has filed a claim for individual relief pursuant to a class complaint is authorized under Title VII, the ADEA [Age Discrimination in Employment Act] and the Rehabilitation Act to file a civil action in an appropriate United States District Court:

(a) Within 90 calendar days of receipt of the final action on an individual or class complaint if no appeal has been filed;

FACTS									
7. Plaintiff, an Arab Egyptian, was hired by Defense Language Institute (DLI) as an Assistant									
Professor, on or about April 3, 2006.									
8. Mr. Abouelhassan was discriminated against based on his 1) race, 2) nationality, 3) religion,									
and 4) sex. Mr. Abouelhassan is a male Egyptian of the Arab race. He is a practicing Muslim.									
9. Mr. Abouelhassan entered the DLI while the agency's demographics were rapidly changing.									
They still are. For many years the DLI was dominated by Russian-speaking employees. Most of									
the employees were either from Russia and/or had an Eastern European ethnic background. As a									
result of many years of high demand for Russian linguists many of the supervisors at the DLI									
have Russian backgrounds.									

- 10. Mr. Abouelhassan was hired by the DLI, Department of the Army and supervised by Elena Levintova Allison (Ms. Allison). Ms. Allison is of Russian descent. Ms. Allison's father worked for the DLI for many years and was a Russian linguist.
- 11. However, the war on terror has created a demand for Arabic speaking interpreters. The DLI has had to hire many Arab interpreters to help fight the war on terror. Mr. Abouelhassan was hired as one such interpreter. The need for Arabic interpreters has created strife within the DLI. Many of the Russian supervisors hold the newer Arab employees in contempt.
- 12. Historically, in a political sense, the USSR, or larger Russia, has had a benefactor/client relationship with Egypt, which has led many Russians to consider Egyptians as second class citizens. Here, the Arab interpreters have faced discrimination at the hands of the Russian supervisors. Egyptians consist of nearly 90% of the Arabs at this workplace. As an Egyptian Arab, Mr. Abouelhassan has been one victim of such discrimination.
- 13. Plaintiff's working environment was so unusually adverse that Mr. Abouelhassan felt compelled to resign. Furthermore, Plaintiff had a reason to believe that the employer either intended to force such resignation or had actual knowledge of the intolerable working conditions.

Case No. C07-4038 RS TITLE 7 COMPLAINT

FIRST FEDERAL CLAIM FOR RELIEF

13. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 12 as if fully rewritten herein.

14. The above discriminatory and retaliatory pattern and practice by defendant, its agents and employees violates Title VII of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e.

15. Mr. Abouelhassan has faced continuing and ongoing discrimination since the first day of his

employment. Mr. Abouelhassan was hired with an annual salary of \$52,000. One week prior to

Mr. Abouelhassan's employment, the DLI hired a Russian interpreter (linguist), Svetlana

Witmer, at an annual salary of \$58,000.

16. Ms. Witmer was hired at the same rank and for the same team as Mr. Abouelhassan. Her job requires the same equal skill, effort, and responsibility as does Mr. Abouelhassan's job and both jobs are performed under similar working conditions. Despite this, Ms. Witmer earned \$6,000 more per year than Mr. Abouelhassan. The DLI pays the female interpreters more than male interpreters. When Mr. Abouelhassan complained about the pay to his supervisor, Ms.

Allison, he was told there was nothing that could be done.

17. This \$500 deferential in monthly pay, due to Mr. Abouelhassan's sex, over a period of approximately two years, damaged Mr. Abouelhassan financially.

18. As a direct and proximate result of said acts, plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer loss of employment, loss of income, loss of other employment benefits, and has suffered and continues to suffer distress, humiliation, great expense, embarrassment, and damage to his reputation.

SECOND FEDERAL CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 18 as if fully rewritten herein.
- 20. The above discriminatory and retaliatory pattern and practice by defendant, its agents and

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

employees violate the Thirteenth Amendment to the Constitution as protected by 42 U.S.C. §

2

1

1981.

4

3

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

21. Mr. Abouelhassan has faced continuing and ongoing discrimination since the first day of his employment. Mr. Abouelhassan was hired with an annual salary of \$52,000. One week prior to Mr. Abouelhassan's employment, the DLI hired a Russian interpreter (linguist), Svetlana Witmer, at an annual salary of \$58,000.

22. Ms. Witmer was hired at the same rank and for the same team as Mr. Abouelhassan. Her job requires the same equal skill, effort, and responsibility as does Mr. Abouelhassan's job and both jobs are performed under similar working conditions. Despite this, Ms. Witmer earned \$6,000 more per year than Mr. Abouelhassan. The DLI pays the female interpreters more than male interpreters. When Mr. Abouelhassan complained about the pay to his supervisor, Ms. Allison, he was told there was nothing that could be done.

- 23. This \$500 deferential in monthly pay, due to Mr. Abouelhassan's sex, over a period of approximately two years, damaged Mr. Abouelhassan financially.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of said acts, plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer loss of employment, loss of income, loss of other employment benefits, and has suffered and continues to suffer distress, humiliation, great expense, embarrassment, and damage to his reputation.

THIRD FEDERAL CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 25. Plaintiff incorporates paragraphs 1 through 24 as if fully rewritten herein.
- 26. The actions of defendant, its agents and employees violate plaintiff's rights and privileges as guaranteed by the Thirteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.
- 27. Mr. Abouelhassan has faced continuing and ongoing discrimination since the first day of his employment. Mr. Abouelhassan was hired with an annual salary of \$52,000. One week prior to

Mr. Abouelhassan's employment, the DLI hired a Russian interpreter (linguist), Svetlana

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

25

28. Ms. Witmer was hired at the same rank and for the same team as Mr. Abouelhassan. Her job requires the same equal skill, effort, and responsibility as does Mr. Abouelhassan's job and both jobs are performed under similar working conditions. Despite this, Ms. Witmer earned \$6,000 more per year than Mr. Abouelhassan. The DLI pays the female interpreters more than male interpreters. When Mr. Abouelhassan complained about the pay to his supervisor, Ms.

29. This \$500 deferential in monthly pay, due to Mr. Abouelhassan's sex, over a period of approximately two years, damaged Mr. Abouelhassan financially.

Allison, he was told there was nothing that could be done.

30. As a direct and proximate result of said acts, plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer loss of employment, loss of income, loss of other employment benefits, and has suffered and continues to suffer distress, humiliation, great expense, embarrassment, and damage to his reputation.

FORTH FEDERAL CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 30 as if fully rewritten herein.
- 32. The actions of defendant, its agents and employees constitute intentional infliction of emotional distress upon plaintiff.
- 33. Abouelhassan alleges intentional infliction of severe emotional distress.
- 34. Abouelhassan has faced continuing harassment and discrimination throughout his employment. The Arab translators were degraded and demeaned by the Russian supervisors. In one conversation (on or about July, 2007) between Ms. Allison and another Russian supervisor, Natasha Antokhin, several of the Arabs translators were called "stupid Arabs", "bad", "does not have a clue" and "difficult/stubborn." In another conversation, in or about August, 2007, Ms. Allison told her supervisor, Greg Brendel that "these Arabs, they get high degrees from their

countries without even knowing grammar". Mr. Abouelhassan believes that such comments

were common.

35. Mr. Abouelhassan was also treated differently than the Russian interpreters. In May 2007, Mr. Abouelhassan was told he could work remotely from his home. In reliance on this agreement, Mr. Abouelhassan moved to Ceres, California. After approximately two months, Mr. Abouelhassan was told he had to return to the office in Monterey. This was despite the fact that Ms. Allison allowed a Russian, Andrei Aleinikov, to continue to work remotely, and Ms. Allison herself was working remotely. When Mr. Abouelhassan returned to his office it was not ready for work. There was no phone, network access, email connection or printer available. When Mr. Abouelhassan complained, Ms Allison refused to deal with the situation.

36. Ms. Allison put Mr. Abouelhassan on probation when he returned to the Monterey office because of allegedly poor work performance. This was despite the fact that Mr. Abouelhassan did more work than his colleagues. The average employee developed six to seven lessons while Mr. Abouelhassan developed eight lessons. Ms. Allison claimed Mr. Abouelhassan had done no lessons, as an excuse to put him on probation. Mr. Abouelhassan was given a poor review despite better than average performance. Ms. Allison continued to give Mr. Abouelhassan poor reviews despite his superior performance.

37. In October 2007, Ms. Allison gave Mr. Abouelhassan a 3 on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the best, claiming Mr. Abouelhassan completed 8 out of the required 12 lessons. In fact, Mr. Abouelhassan completed 13 lessons. When Mr. Abouelhassan showed Ms. Allison that he actually completed 13 lessons, she claimed that she did not have time to review the issue.

38. The hostile work environment and atmosphere of fear of retaliation has had a chilling affect on DLI personnel asserting their right to a discrimination free workplace. The severe emotional distress that Abouelhassan is suffering is current and ongoing. The Supreme Court has recently

reaffirmed that victims of racial discrimination are protected from retaliation under Title VII §1982 CBOCS West, Inc. v. Humphries, 553 U.S. (2008).

- 39. Ms. Allison was aware of Mr. Abouelhassan's plan to purchase a house in Ceres, California, when she agreed to let him work remotely. When Mr. Abouelhassan had to return to the office in Monterey rather than work remotely, it resulted in financial hardship resulting in the loss of his community property interest in the house in Ceres, California, the equity which is estimated to have been worth \$150,000.
- 40. It was extreme and outrageous for Ms. Allison to initially allow Mr. Abouelhassan to work remotely, knowing that Abouelhassan would purchase a house in Ceres, California, and that Abouelhassan would then move his family to Ceres, California, and then for Ms. Allison, only a short time later (approximately two months) capriciously and without justification, revoke his ability to work remotely.
- 41. It is not feasible to commute from Ceres to Monterey every day because it is approximately a three hour drive each way. Also, there was no intervening event between granting the allowance and revoking it that would justify Ms. Allison's revoking Abouelhassan's remote workplace status.
- 42. Thus, Plaintiff alleges that Ms. Allison granted him the remote workplace allowance with the intent that he purchase a house and move his family to Ceres, and then be forced to move back closer to work after the allowance was revoked.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of said acts, plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer loss of employment, loss of income, loss of other employment benefits, and suffered and continues to suffer distress, humiliation, great expense, embarrassment, and damage to his reputation.

FIFTH FEDERAL CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 44. Plaintiff incorporates and realleges paragraphs 1 through 43 as if fully rewritten herein.
- 45. Abouelhassan alleges discrimination in violation of the Equal Protection component of the Due Process Clause of the Fifth Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.
- 46. Abouelhassan alleges that the rules governing performance reviews and working from a remote location were applied in a discriminatory manner, on the basis of race, sex and national origin, in violation of his constitutional rights.
 - 47. In October 2007, Ms. Allison gave Mr. Abouelhassan a poor review (a 3 on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the best) when in fact his work deserved a very good review (1 on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the best).
 - 48. On November 19, 2007, Ms. Allison informed Mr. Abouelhassan that he would be laid off if there was no available spot for him in another department or program. Moreover, Mr. Abouelhassan, was informed that in order to keep his job, he would have to go through a new hiring process, which requires submitting a resume, passing an interview, and performing three teaching presentations for the hiring panel, all in competition with outside applicants.
 - 49. However, during this re-organization, other similarly situated employees, and even those who had less seniority than Mr. Abouelhassan, were given new assignments, with no threat of lay off, and no requirement to go through a new hiring process.
 - 50. Mr. Abouelhassan alleges that the poor review based on a discriminatory application of the performance rules, is being as a means of effecting a constructive discharge and may be used as a pretext to justify an impending layoff.
 - 51. By doing the aforementioned acts, Ms. Allison violated Mr. Abouelhassan's equal protection rights under the due process clause of the Fifth Amendment of U.S. Constitution.

Case No. C07-4038 RS TITLE 7 COMPLAINT

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

abouelhassan.title.7.complaint.5

TITLE 7 COMPLAINT

1

- 10 -

	Case 5:08-cv-03774-RMW Document 1 Filed 08/07/2008 Page	ge 11 of 18						
1								
2								
3								
4	JOKT DEMINIO							
Plaintiff demands a jury to hear and decide an issues of face.								
6	Respectfully submitted,							
7								
8	8 Dated: 8/6/8 Mark Malacho Attorney for P	Mell of						
9	Mark Malacho Attorney for P	wski laintiff						
10	0							
11	1							
12								
13	13							
14	14							
15	15							
16	16							
17	17							
18	18							
19	19							
20	20							
21	21							
22	22							
23	23							
2	24							
2	25							
	Case No. C07-4038 RS TITLE 7 COMPLAINT							
	- 11 -							

abouelhassan.title.7.complaint.5

- 11 -

ATTACHMENT A

1 DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

UNITED STATES ARMY INSTALLATION MANAGEMENT COMMAND HEADQUARTERS, US ARMY GARRISON, PRESIDIO OF MONTEREY 1759 LEWIS ROAD, SUITE 210 MONTEREY, CA 93944-3223

May 19, 20008

Equal Employment Opportunity Office

SUBJECT: Letter of Dismissal of EEO Discrimination Complaint

Mr. Mark Malachowski 760 Market Street, Suite 947 San Francisco, California 94102

Complaint of Mr. Mohamed Abouelhassan and Pete Geren, Secretary of the Army Army Docket No. ARPOM07OCT04415

Dear Mr. Malachowski:

This is the Department of the Army's final decision in the above-captioned equal employment opportunity complaint filed by your client November 21, 2007. Therein, your client alleged discrimination on the bases of his race (Arab), color (non-white/brown), sex (male), national origin (Egyptian) and religion (Muslim) when:

- a. In March 2006, his starting pay was set lower than another employee (different sex, race, religion and nationality) whose position required no more than the position to which he was appointed;
- b. In May 2007, Complainant's telework arrangement was terminated after two months while another employee (Russian) was allowed to continue to work remotely;
- c. During July-August 2007, Complainant was subjected to performing non-duty related tasks that were degrading and demeaning. Comments, such as the following: "stupid Arabs", "bad", "does not have a clue", "difficult/stubborn", "these Arabs, they get high degrees from their countries without even knowing grammar", were made during conversations between supervisors;
- d. In May 2007, Complainant was placed on a performance improvement plan because of poor work performance even though he produced more work than his colleagues. In September 2007, Complainant received a 67% passing rate as a result of not completing the required number of lessons;
- e. Complainant was required to provide medical documentation for each absence and submit leave requests for each time he was late, the most recent incident occurring October 25, 2007; and

f. On November 19, 2007, Complainant's supervisor informed him that he would be laid off due to lack of available positions?

This office received a copy of Civil Action No. 5:07-cv-04038-RS (as amended), filed 12/03/07 in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Jose Division. This action was filed by your office for Mr. Abouelhassan. The allegations were the same as the issues in the above referenced complaint. Therefore, inasmuch as 180 days have elapsed since the filing of the formal complaint, and in accordance with the regulation stated below, I have decided to dismiss the complaint in its entirety for the following reason:

Under 29 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1614.107 (a)(3), an agency may dismiss allegations that are "the basis of a pending civil action in United States District Court in which the complainant is a party provided that at least 180 days have passed since the filing of the administrative complaint, or that was the basis of a civil action decided by a United States District Court in which the complainant was a party."

If your client is dissatisfied with this decision, his appeal rights are:

APPEAL RIGHTS FOR NONMIXED COMPLAINTS

- 1. An appeal may be filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC), Director of Federal Operations, P.O. Box 19848, Washington, D.C. 20036 within 30 calendar days of the date of receipt of this decision. The 30 calendar day period for filing an appeal begins on the date of receipt of this decision. An appeal shall be deemed timely if it is delivered in person, transmitted by facsimile or postmarked before the expiration of the filing period or, in the absence of a legible postmark, if the appeal is received by the Commission by mail within 5 calendar days after the expiration of the filing period. The complainant will serve a copy of the Notice of Appeal/Petition, EEOC Form 573, to the EEO/Civil Rights Office (Deputy for EEO Compliance and Complaints Review (EEOCCR)), Department of the Army, ATTN: SAMR-EO-CCR, 1901 South Bell Street, Suite 109B, Arlington, VA 22202-4508, and furnish a copy to the agency representative, Mr. Michael Halperin, Staff Judge Advocate Office, 1336 Plummer Street, Monterey, California 93944, facsimile 831-242-7238, email michael.halperin@us.army.mil, at the same time it is filed with the Commission. In or attached to the appeal to the Commission, you must certify the date and method by which service was made to the Deputy for EEOCCR and the agency representative.
- 2. The complainant may file a brief or statement in support of his appeal with the Office of Federal Operations (OFO). The brief or statement must be filed with the OFO within 30 calendar days from the date the appeal is filed. The complainant will serve a copy of the brief or statement submitted in support of the appeal on the Deputy for EEOCCR and on the agency representative at the addresses shown above in paragraph 1 at the same time the brief or statement is filed with the Commission. The

regulation providing for appeal rights is contained in Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations, a part of which is reproduced below:

Part 1614.401 Appeals to the Commission.

- (a) A complainant may appeal an agency's final action or dismissal of a complaint.
 - (b) An agency may appeal as provided in Section 1614.110(a).
- (c) A class agent or an agency may appeal an administrative judge's decision accepting or dismissing all or part of a class complaint; a class agent may appeal a final decision on a class complaint; a class member may appeal a final decision on a claim for individual relief under a class complaint; and a class member, a class agent or an agency may appeal a final decision on a petition pursuant to Section 1614.204(g)(4).
- (d) A grievant may appeal the final decision of the agency, the arbitrator or the Federal Labor Relations Authority (FLRA) on the grievance when an issue of employment discrimination was raised in a negotiated grievance procedure that permits such issues to be raised. A grievant may not appeal under this part, however, when the matter initially raised in the negotiated grievance procedure is still ongoing in that process, is in arbitration, is before the FLRA, is appealable to the MSPB [Merit Systems Protection Board] or if 5 U.S.C. Section 7121(d) is inapplicable to the involved agency.
- (e) A complainant, agent or individual class claimant may appeal to the Commission an agency's alleged noncompliance with a settlement agreement or final decision in accordance with Section 1614.504.

Part 1614.402 Time for appeals to the Commission.

- (a) Appeals described in Section 1614.401(a) and (c) must be filed within 30 calendar days of receipt of the dismissal, final action or decision. Appeals described in Part 1614.401(b) must be filed within 40 calendar days of receipt of the hearing file and decision. Where a complainant has notified the EEO Director [Deputy for EEOCCR] of alleged noncompliance with a settlement agreement in accordance with Section 1614.504, the complainant may file an appeal 35 calendar days after service of the claim of noncompliance, but no later than 30 calendar days after receipt of the agency's determination.
- (b) If the complainant is represented by an attorney of record, then the 30 calendar day time period provided in paragraph (a) of this Section within which to appeal shall be calculated from the receipt of the required document by the attorney. In all other instances, the time within which to appeal shall be calculated from the receipt of the required document by the complainant.

Section 1614.403 How to appeal.

(a) The complainant, agency, agent, grievant or individual class claimant (hereinafter complainant) must file an appeal with the Director, Office of Federal Operations, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, at P.O. Box 19848, Washington, DC 20036, or by personal delivery or facsimile. The appellant should use EEOC Form 573, Notice of Appeal/Petition [copy enclosed], and should indicate what is being appealed.

Document 1

- (b) The appellant shall furnish a copy of the appeal to the opposing party [Deputy for EEOCCR and the servicing agency representative] at the same time it is filed with the Commission. In or attached to the appeal to the Commission, the complainant must certify the date and method by which service was made on the opposing party [Deputy for EEOCCR and the servicing agency representative addresses shown in paragraph 1 above].
- (c) If appellant does not file an appeal within the time limits of this subpart, the appeal shall be dismissed by the Commission as untimely.
- (d) Any statement or brief on behalf of a complainant in support of the appeal must be submitted to the Office of Federal Operations within 30 calendar days of filing the notice of appeal. Any statement or brief on behalf of the agency in support of its appeal must be submitted to the Office of Federal Operations within 20 calendar days of filing the notice of appeal. The Office of Federal Operations will accept statements or briefs in support of an appeal by facsimile transmittal, provided they are no more than 10 pages long.
- (e) The agency must submit the complaint file to the Office of Federal Operations within 30 calendar days of initial notification that the complainant has filed an appeal or within 30 calendar days of submission of an appeal by the agency.
- (f) Any statement or brief in opposition to an appeal must be submitted to the Commission and served on the opposing party within 30 calendar days of receipt of the statement or brief supporting the appeal, or, if no statement or brief supporting the appeal is filed, within 60 calendar days of receipt of the appeal. The Office of Federal Operations will accept statements or briefs in opposition to an appeal by facsimile provided they are no more than 10 pages long.

Section 1614.407 Civil action: Title VII, Age Discrimination in Employment Act and Rehabilitation Act.

A complainant who has filed an individual complaint, an agent who has filed a class complaint or a claimant who has filed a claim for individual relief pursuant to a class complaint is authorized under Title VII, the ADEA [Age Discrimination in Employment Act] and the Rehabilitation Act to file a civil action in an appropriate United **States District Court:**

- (a) Within <u>90 calendar days</u> of receipt of the final action on an individual or class complaint if no appeal has been filed;
- (b) After <u>180 calendar days</u> from the date of filing an individual or class complaint if an appeal has not been filed and final action has not been taken;
- (c) Within <u>90 calendar days</u> of receipt of the Commission's final decision on an appeal; or
- (d) After <u>180 calendar days</u> from the date of filing an appeal with the Commission if there has been no final decision by the Commission.

Section 1614.408 Civil action: Equal Pay Act.

A complainant is authorized under Section 16(b) of the Fair Labor Standards Act (29 U.S.C. 216[b]) to file a civil action in a court of competent jurisdiction within two years or, if the violation is willful, three years of the date of the alleged violation of the Equal Pay Act regardless of whether he or she pursued any administrative complaint processing. Recovery of back wages is limited to two years prior to the date of filing suit, or to three years if the violation is deemed willful; liquidated damages in an equal amount may also be awarded. The filing of a complaint or appeal under this part shall not toll the time for filing a civil action.

Section 1614.409 Effect of filing a civil action.

Filing a civil action under Section 1614.408 or Section 1614.409 shall terminate Commission processing of the appeal. If private suit is filed subsequent to the filing of an appeal, the parties are requested to notify the Commission in writing.

- 3. If a civil action is filed and complainant does not have or is unable to obtain the services of a lawyer, the complainant may request the court to appoint a lawyer. In such circumstances as the court may deem just, the court may appoint a lawyer to represent the complainant and may authorize the commencement of the action without the payment of fees, costs, or security. Any such request <u>must</u> be made within the above referenced <u>90-calendar day</u> time limit for filing suit and in such form and manner as the court may require.
- 4. You are further notified that if you file a civil action, you must name the appropriate Department or Agency head as the defendant and provide his or her official title. **DO NOT NAME JUST THE AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT**. Failure to name the head of the Department or Agency or to state his or her official title may result in the dismissal of the case. The appropriate agency is the Department of the Army. The head of the Department of the Army is Pete Geren, who is the Secretary of the Army.

The DA docket number identified at the top of page 1 of this letter should be used on all correspondence.

Sincerely,

Ischa W. Donahue Equal Employment Opportunity Manager

Enclosure

Copy Furnished: Mohamed Abouelhassan, Complainant Michael Halperin, Agency representative Document 1-2

Filed 08/07/2008

S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-16-08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet (SEE 1145)	ROCTIONS ON TAGE IN	O OI IIIDIOIGII.	DEFENDING A NOC				
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS				
Mohamed Abouelhassan				The United States of America (U.S. Government) et al.			
	Eller .	,		C (D)	4 Listed Defendant		
(b) County of Residence of	of First Lister Minne			County of Residence of Firs		MIV)	
(EXCEP	T IN US DIA NOTIFF CAS	SES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) Clair Cormin 150 Alamada 11 V Suite 900 3 7 7 4 (408)535-5082			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam	e, Address, and Telephone	Number)					
Mark Malachowski (CSBN	1 242606)						
760 Market Street, Suite 9- San Francisco, CA 94102 (415)983-0717							
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place an "X" in Or	e Box Only)	III. C	ITIZENSHIP OF PRII (For Diversity Cases Only)	а	lace an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				Citizen of This State TF DEF 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 4			
2 U.S. Government 4 Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 5 5 of Business In Another State					
		!		tizen or Subject of a 3 Foreign Country	3 Foreign Nation	6 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	")					
CONTRACT	TO	RTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL IN		610 Agriculture	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapportionment	
120 Marine	310 Airplane	362 Personal Inj		620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Med. Malpr 365 Personal Inj		of Property 21 USC 881		450 Commerce	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Product Lial	•	630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	460 Deportation	
& Enforcement of Judgment		368 Asbestos Pe		640 R.R. & Truck	820 Copyrights	470 Racketeer Influenced and	
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Injury Produ		650 Airline Regs.	830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Liability		660 Occupational Safety/Health	840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	340 Marine	PERSONAL PRO		690 Other		810 Selective Service	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	370 Other Fraud				850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Let		LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	Exchange	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	Property Da		710 Fair Labor Standards	861 HIA (1395ff)	875 Customer Challenge	
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	385 Property Da		Act	862 Black Lung (923)	12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions	
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Lia		720 Labor/Mgmt, Relations	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI	891 Agricultural Acts	
196 Franchise	+ ·	PRISONI	ER	730 Labor/Mgmt,Reporting & Disclosure Act	865 RSI (405(g))	892 Economic Stabilization Act	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PETITIO		740 Railway Labor Act	505 (to (to 5(g))	893 Environmental Matters	
210 Land Condemnation	1441 Voting	510 Motions to		790 Other Labor Litigation		894 Energy Allocation Act	
220 Foreclosure	¥442 Employment	Sentence		791 Empl, Ret, Inc.	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of Information	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/	Habeas Corpus	s:	Security Act		Act 900Appeal of Fee	
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations	530 General		-	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Determination	
245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities	535 Death Pena		INAMICD ATTON	871 IRS—Third Party	Under Equal Access	
290 All Other Real Property	Employment	550 Civil Rights		IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	to Justice	
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities	555 Prison Con		462 Naturalization Application 463 Habeas Corpus –		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	Other	["		Alien Detainee		State Statutes	
	440 Other Civil Rights			465 Other Immigration Actions			
V. ORIGIN (Place an ")	Zi in One Dan Only)		•	Transferred fr	om	Appeal to District	
	K" in One Box Only) noved from 3 Rem	anded from	14 Reins			7 Judge from	
■ 1 Original ■ 2 Ren Proceeding State		ellate Court	Reop		Litigation	Magistrate Judgment	
······································				filing (Do not cite jurisdiction			
THE CATTOR OF LOTTE	Race discrimination	on alleging violat	tions of	section 1981, Title VII, the	e fifth and the thirteenth a	mendments to us constitution	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Brief description of				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	, -		hased o	on his 1) race, 2) nationality	v. 3) religion, and 4) sex		
KIN DEOLDSTED DI				DEMAND \$		S only if demanded in complaint;	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	23			JURY DEM	•	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY		R TO CIVIL L.R. RELATED CASE		ONCERNING REQUIREM 7-4038 RS	ENT TO FILE		
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGN (PLACE AND "X" IN ON		· [I FRANCISCO/OAKLAN	D 🖪 SAN JOSE		
DATE OVIII	<i>p</i>	SIGNATURE	OF ATTO	RNEY OF RECORD			
08/04/08 8/6/08		Med	12	led			

JS 44 Reversi: (Rev. 12/07)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

Example:

U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553

Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.